

Release notes for ENDF/B Development n-096_Cm_240
evaluation

ENDF
B-VII.dev

April 26, 2017

- fudge-4.0 Warnings:

1. Cross section does not match sum of linked reaction cross sections
crossSectionSum label 0: total (Error # 0): CS Sum.

WARNING: Cross section does not match sum of linked reaction cross sections! Max diff: 0.88%

2. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.
Section 1 (n[multiplicity:'energyDependent', emissionMode:'prompt'] + n[emissionMode:'1 delayed'] + gamma [total fission] [nubar]): / Form 'eval': (Error # 0): Condition num.

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (0.000000e+00) is too small

3. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.
Section 2 (n[multiplicity:'energyDependent', emissionMode:'prompt'] + n[emissionMode:'1 delayed'] + gamma [total fission] [nubar]): / Form 'eval': (Error # 0): Condition num.

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (2.609003e-09) is too small

4. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.
Section 3 (total): / Form 'eval': / Component 0 (Error # 0): Condition num.

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (0.000000e+00) is too small

5. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.
Section 3 (total): / Form 'eval': / Component 1 (Error # 0): Condition num.

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (0.000000e+00) is too small

6. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.
Section 4 (n + Cm240): / Form 'eval': / Component 0 (Error # 0): Condition num.

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (0.000000e+00) is too small

7. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.
Section 4 (n + Cm240): / Form 'eval': / Component 1 (Error # 0): Condition num.

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (0.000000e+00) is too small

8. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.
Section 8 (n[multiplicity:'energyDependent', emissionMode:'prompt'] + n[emissionMode:'1 delayed'] + gamma [total fission]): / Form 'eval': / Component 0 (Error # 0): Condition num.

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (0.000000e+00) is too small

9. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.
Section 8 ($n[multiplicity: 'energyDependent', emissionMode: 'prompt'] + n[emissionMode: '1 delayed'] + gamma [total fission]$): / Form 'eval': / Component 1 (Error # 0): Condition num.

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (0.000000e+00) is too small

10. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.
Section 9 ($n + (Cm240_e1 \rightarrow Cm240 + gamma)$): / Form 'eval': (Error # 0): Condition num.

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (4.055129e-09) is too small

11. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.
Section 10 ($n + (Cm240_e2 \rightarrow Cm240 + gamma)$): / Form 'eval': (Error # 0): Condition num.

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (2.367746e-09) is too small

12. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.
Section 11 ($n + (Cm240_e3 \rightarrow Cm240 + gamma)$): / Form 'eval': (Error # 0): Condition num.

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (8.474676e-09) is too small

13. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.
Section 12 ($n + (Cm240_e4 \rightarrow Cm240 + gamma)$): / Form 'eval': (Error # 0): Condition num.

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (6.682107e-09) is too small

14. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.
Section 13 ($n + (Cm240_c \rightarrow Cm240 + gamma)$): / Form 'eval': (Error # 0): Condition num.

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (0.000000e+00) is too small

15. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.
Section 14 ($Cm241 + gamma$): / Form 'eval': / Component 0 (Error # 0): Condition num.

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (0.000000e+00) is too small

16. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.
Section 14 ($Cm241 + gamma$): / Form 'eval': / Component 1 (Error # 0): Condition num.

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (0.000000e+00) is too small

17. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.
Section 15 (n + Cm240 [angular distribution]): / Form 'eval': (Error # 1): Condition num.

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (0.000000e+00) is too small

18. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.
Section 16 (n[multiplicity:'energyDependent', emissionMode:'prompt'] + n[emissionMode:'1 delayed'] + gamma [total fission] [spectrum]): / Form 'eval': (Error # 0): Condition num.

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (0.000000e+00) is too small

19. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.
Section 17 (n[multiplicity:'energyDependent', emissionMode:'prompt'] + n[emissionMode:'1 delayed'] + gamma [total fission] [spectrum]): / Form 'eval': (Error # 0): Condition num.

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (0.000000e+00) is too small

20. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.
Section 18 (n[multiplicity:'energyDependent', emissionMode:'prompt'] + n[emissionMode:'1 delayed'] + gamma [total fission] [spectrum]): / Form 'eval': (Error # 0): Condition num.

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (0.000000e+00) is too small

21. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.
Section 19 (n[multiplicity:'energyDependent', emissionMode:'prompt'] + n[emissionMode:'1 delayed'] + gamma [total fission] [spectrum]): / Form 'eval': (Error # 0): Condition num.

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (0.000000e+00) is too small

- fudge-4.0 Errors:

1. Duplicate Eout in outgoing distribution
Reading ENDF file: ../n-096-Cm-240.endf (Error # 0): Bad Eout

WARNING: skipping duplicate e_out = 6093330.0, i1 = 76 0 1e-05

2. Energy range of data set does not match cross section range
reaction label 5: n + (Cm240_c -> Cm240 + gamma) / Product: Cm240_c / Decay product: gamma_a / Multiplicity: (Error # 0): Domain mismatch (a)

WARNING: Domain doesn't match the cross section domain: (140000.0 -> 20000000.0) vs (110926.0 -> 20000000.0)

3. Energy range of data set does not match cross section range
reaction label 5: n + (Cm240_c -> Cm240 + gamma) / Product: Cm240_c / Distribution: / uncorrelated - angular - isotropic: (Error # 0): Domain mismatch (a)

- WARNING: Domain doesn't match the cross section domain: (140000.0 -> 20000000.0) vs (110926.0 -> 20000000.0)
 WARNING: Domain doesn't match the cross section domain: (250000.0 -> 20000000.0) vs (110926.0 -> 20000000.0)
 WARNING: Domain doesn't match the cross section domain: (400000.0 -> 20000000.0) vs (110926.0 -> 20000000.0)
 WARNING: Domain doesn't match the cross section domain: (600000.0 -> 20000000.0) vs (110926.0 -> 20000000.0)
4. Energy range of data set does not match cross section range
reaction label 5: $n + (Cm240_c \rightarrow Cm240 + \gamma)$ / Product: $Cm240_c$ / Decay product: γ_b / Multiplicity: (Error # 0): Domain mismatch (a)

 WARNING: Domain doesn't match the cross section domain: (250000.0 -> 20000000.0) vs (110926.0 -> 20000000.0)
 5. Energy range of data set does not match cross section range
reaction label 5: $n + (Cm240_c \rightarrow Cm240 + \gamma)$ / Product: $Cm240_c$ / Decay product: γ_c / Multiplicity: (Error # 0): Domain mismatch (a)

 WARNING: Domain doesn't match the cross section domain: (400000.0 -> 20000000.0) vs (110926.0 -> 20000000.0)
 6. Energy range of data set does not match cross section range
reaction label 5: $n + (Cm240_c \rightarrow Cm240 + \gamma)$ / Product: $Cm240_c$ / Decay product: γ_d / Multiplicity: (Error # 0): Domain mismatch (a)

 WARNING: Domain doesn't match the cross section domain: (600000.0 -> 20000000.0) vs (110926.0 -> 20000000.0)
 7. Calculated and tabulated Q values disagree.
reaction label 6: $n[multiplicity:'2'] + Cm239 + \gamma$ (Error # 0): Q mismatch

 WARNING: Calculated and tabulated Q-values disagree: -7854939.084594727 eV vs -7537880. eV!
 8. Calculated and tabulated Q values disagree.
reaction label 7: $n[multiplicity:'3'] + Cm238 + \gamma$ (Error # 0): Q mismatch

 WARNING: Calculated and tabulated Q-values disagree: -14130053.74874878 eV vs -1.38131e7 eV!
 9. Calculated and tabulated Q values disagree.
reaction label 9: $Cm241 + \gamma$ (Error # 0): Q mismatch

 WARNING: Calculated and tabulated Q-values disagree: 5776386.892150879 eV vs 6093330. eV!
 10. Multiplicity does not match sum of linked product multiplicities!
multiplicitySum label 7: $n + (Cm240_c \rightarrow Cm240 + \gamma)$ total gamma multiplicity (Error # 0): summedMultiplicityMismatch

 WARNING: Multiplicity does not match sum of linked product multiplicities! Max diff: 29.40%
 11. Calculated and tabulated Q values disagree.
fissionComponent label 0: /reactionSuite/fissionComponents/fissionComponent[@label='0'] (Error # 0): Q mismatch

 WARNING: Calculated and tabulated Q-values disagree: 224549545138.1986 eV vs 2.0996e8 eV!
 12. Calculated and tabulated Q values disagree.
fissionComponent label 1: /reactionSuite/fissionComponents/fissionComponent[@label='1'] (Error # 0): Q mismatch

 WARNING: Calculated and tabulated Q-values disagree: 224549545138.1986 eV vs 2.0996e8 eV!

13. Calculated and tabulated Q values disagree.
fissionComponent label 2: /reactionSuite/fissionComponents/fissionComponent[@label='2']
(Error # 0): Q mismatch

WARNING: Calculated and tabulated Q-values disagree: 224549545138.1986 eV vs 2.0996e8 eV!

14. Calculated and tabulated Q values disagree.
fissionComponent label 3: /reactionSuite/fissionComponents/fissionComponent[@label='3']
(Error # 0): Q mismatch

WARNING: Calculated and tabulated Q-values disagree: 224549545138.1986 eV vs 2.0996e8 eV!

15. A covariance matrix was not positive semi-definite, so it has negative eigenvalues.
Section 15 (n + Cm240 [angular distribution]): / Form 'eval': / LegendreLValue L=1
vs 1 (Error # 0): Bad evs

WARNING: 10 negative eigenvalues! Worst case = -2.684246e-05

• njoy2012 Warnings:

1. Evaluation has no resonance parameters given
unresr...calculation of unresolved resonance cross sections (0): No RR

---message from unresr---mat 9625 has no resonance parameters
copy as is to nout

2. In some evaluations, the partial fission reactions MT=19, 20, 21, and 38 are given in File 3, but no corresponding distributions are given. In these cases, it is assumed that MT=18 should be used for the fission neutron distributions.
heatr...prompt kerma (0): HEATR/hinit (3)

---message from hinit---mt19 has no spectrum
mt18 spectrum will be used.

3. Recoil is not given, so one-particle recoil approximation used.
heatr...prompt kerma (1): HEATR/hinit (4)

---message from hinit---mf6, mt 16 does not give recoil za= 96239
one-particle recoil approx. used.

4. Recoil is not given, so one-particle recoil approximation used.
heatr...prompt kerma (2): HEATR/hinit (4)

---message from hinit---mf6, mt 17 does not give recoil za= 96238
one-particle recoil approx. used.

5. Recoil is not given, so one-particle recoil approximation used.
heatr...prompt kerma (3): HEATR/hinit (4)

---message from hinit---mf6, mt 51 does not give recoil za= 96240
one-particle recoil approx. used.

6. Recoil is not given, so one-particle recoil approximation used.
heatr...prompt kerma (4): HEATR/hinit (4)

- message from hinit---mf6, mt 52 does not give recoil za= 96240
one-particle recoil approx. used.
7. Recoil is not given, so one-particle recoil approximation used.
heatr...prompt kerma (5): HEATR/hinit (4)
- message from hinit---mf6, mt 53 does not give recoil za= 96240
one-particle recoil approx. used.
8. Recoil is not given, so one-particle recoil approximation used.
heatr...prompt kerma (6): HEATR/hinit (4)
- message from hinit---mf6, mt 54 does not give recoil za= 96240
one-particle recoil approx. used.
9. Recoil is not given, so one-particle recoil approximation used.
heatr...prompt kerma (7): HEATR/hinit (4)
- message from hinit---mf6, mt 91 does not give recoil za= 96240
one-particle recoil approx. used.
10. Recoil is not given, so one-particle recoil approximation used.
heatr...prompt kerma (8): HEATR/hinit (4)
- message from hinit---mf6, mt102 does not give recoil za= 96241
photon momentum recoil used.
11. There is a problem with the fission energy release.
heatr...prompt kerma (19): HEATR/nheat (3)
- message from nheat---changed q from 2.099600E+08 to 1.985028E+08
for mt 18
12. Evaluation has no resonance parameters given
purrr...probabalistic unresolved calculation (0): No RR
- message from purrr---mat 9625 has no resonance parameters
copy as is to nout

• **xsectplotter** Errors:

1. Duplicate Eout in outgoing distribution
(Error # 2): Bad Eout

WARNING: skipping duplicate e_out = 6093330.0, i1 = 76 0 1e-05